The Crystal Structure of Iron Lazulite, a Synthetic Mineral Related to Lazulite

BY LEWIS KATZ AND WILLIAM N. LIPSCOMB

School of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis 14, Minnesota, U.S.A.

(Received 13 October 1950)

The crystal structure of iron lazulite, a basic iron phosphate related to lazulite, has been determined.

Interpretation of single-crystal photographs indicates the space group $D_4^{10}-I4_12$ (except for three very faint reflections, the space group is $D_{4h}^{19}-I4/a$ md) with $a=5\cdot37$, $c=12\cdot81$ A. Atomic positions are 4 P in 4 (a); 4 'OH' in 4 (b); about 7 Fe randomly situated in 8 (f) with $x=0\cdot49$; 16 'O' in 16 (g) with $x=0\cdot24$, $y=0\cdot02$, $z=0\cdot07$.

The phosphorus atoms are surrounded by slightly distorted oxygen tetrahedra; the iron atoms are surrounded by distorted oxygen octahedra sharing faces. The distortion of the phosphate groups from tetrahedral symmetry largely accounts for the appearance of the three faint reflections which prevent the space group from being I4/a md.

Introduction

Iron lazulite is a synthetic mineral so named because of its high iron content and its similarity in crystal shape and X-ray powder pattern to the naturally occurring mineral, lazulite [(Fe, Mg) $O.AI_2O_3.P_2O_5.H_2O$]. It was prepared by Prof. J. W. Gruner of the University of Minnesota as a result of a FePO₄, HFeO₂, water, 'contamination' heated to 170° at about 400 lb./in.² pressure in a bomb. Because of its evident similarity to lazulite it is hoped that the determination of its structure will lead to the structures of the latter and of the related minerals as well.

Crystal data

The crystals were a shiny, jewel-like black in color. Some were shaped very nearly like octahedra with two opposite edges bevelled, a shape common also to lazulite. Their density, determined by a sink-or-float method in a thallium malonate-thallium formate solution, was $3\cdot 8 \text{ g.cm.}^{-3}$. A complete chemical analysis was not possible, but Dr Gruner reported that phosphate was the only important anion present. The black color was an indication that the iron was present in both valence states. This is also indicated by the fact that the iron presumably assumes the roles of magnesium and aluminium in lazulite.

The space group, unit cell, and approximate structure

For the purpose of this investigation, a single crystal of the described octahedral shape, having a maximum dimension of about 0.15 mm., was selected. Though lazulite is monoclinic (Dana, 1892; Berry, 1948), iron lazulite proved to be tetragonal. Zero-, first-, and second-level Weissenbergs were taken about [010] and [001] using Mo K radiation. The systematic extinctions showed that the unit cell selected was body-centered, showed the presence of a fourfold screw axis, and placed the structure unequivocally in $D_4^{10}-I4_12$. Except for very faint (110), (310) and (114) reflections the space group would have been $D_{4h}^{19}-I4/a \, md$. The unit-cell dimensions are

$$a = 5.37, c = 12.81 \text{ A}.$$

The structural problem was rather unusual, since the chemical composition was known only qualitatively. The determination served to solve simultaneously the problems of structure and composition.

The molecular weight was taken to be one-quarter of the total of the atomic weights per unit cell (minimum multiplicity for this space group is 4) and was computed to be 211 from the observed density.

Except for a choice of origin, the 4(a) and 4(b) positions of D_4^{10} are equivalent. As a first step, phosphorus atoms were put, arbitrarily, in 4(a), i.e. at 0, 0, 0; 0, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{4}$; $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$; $\frac{1}{2}$, 0, $\frac{3}{4}$. In order to place four oxygens tetrahedrally about each of the four phosphorus atoms, it was necessary to use the 16-fold general positions $(x, y, z; \bar{x}, \bar{y}, z; \bar{x}, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{4} - z; x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{4} - z; y, x, \bar{z}; \bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{z}; \bar{y}, \frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{4} + z; y, \frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{4} + z;$ plus the corresponding body centering positions). Inspection showed that there were only two ways in which the oxygens could be placed in 16(g) without squashing the phosphate tetrahedra. These correspond to x_0 , y_0 , $z_0 = \frac{1}{4}$, $0, \frac{1}{13}$ and $0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{13}$. The second leads to a sterically impossible situation, since it would result in an O–O distance of about 1.2 A. The first set leads to no such difficulty.

Large holes in the structure remained at the other fourfold positions $4(b): 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}; 0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{4}{4}$. Now, oxygen has the largest crystal radius of the atoms in iron lazulite, a situation which holds in most light minerals, so that the structures are mainly determined by the packing of oxygens (Bragg, 1937). It was therefore reasonable to put oxygen in the second fourfold positions. Subsequent application of Pauling's electrostatic valency rule indicated that these were OH groups. With the two fourfold positions filled in the manner indicated, the structure was closely similar to that of scheelite, $CaWO_4$. Indeed, $BaMoO_4$, another compound with the scheelite structure, would be expected to have the same dimensions as iron lazulite since barium, which occupies the position of the fourfold oxygen in iron lazulite, has a crystal radius of 1.35 A. as compared with 1.40 A. for the radius of oxygen (Pauling, 1940). The comparison is as follows:

BaMoO₄: a = 5.56, c = 12.76 A.

Iron lazulite: a = 5.37, c = 12.81 A.

The remaining task was to fix the positions of the iron atoms. The 99 molecular weight units remaining indicate that there are very nearly 1.75 iron atoms per 'molecule' or 7 per unit cell. Such a defect structure was to be anticipated, for, indeed, strict analogy with the formula of lazulite would indicate 6 iron atoms per unit cell. In order to find the eightfold positions over which these iron atoms are distributed, the structure factors for the (h00) and (110) reflections were plotted as functions of the single iron parameter in each of the eightfold positions (assuming the other parameters to be as stated above). Only in 8(f) could the structure factors stand in the ratio of those for these reflections. These positions are: $x, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}; \overline{x}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{6}; \frac{1}{4}, x, \frac{3}{6}; \frac{3}{4}, \overline{x}, \frac{3}{6}; \frac{1}{6}$ the corresponding body centering positions. The plot further showed that the value of x had to be about 0.5or 0.0, the latter being eliminated by comparison of general (hkl) reflections.

Some refinement of the parameters

Intensities were measured visually by comparison with a strip of standard spots. They were reduced to structure factors without correction for absorption-a correction made unnecessary by the small crystal size and the use of molybdenum radiation. Structure-factor calculations on the basis of the approximate structure showed that the assumed parameters were very close to the truth. However, the calculated structure factors for (110), (310) and (114) were zero. To account for these faint, but definitely present, reflections, it was necessary to distort the phosphate tetrahedra slightly, to displace the iron slightly, or both. The method used for determining the type of parameter refinements can be outlined as follows: The observed (hk0) structure factors were put on the scale of the calculated ones. Assuming a P–O distance of 1.58 A. and a regular PO₄ tetrahedron, x_0 was found to be 0.24. This value would not be appreciably changed with small distortions. Then the calculated structure factor for (110) was written as a function of $x_{\rm Fe}$ and y_0 and equated to the scaled observed structure factor. (Reflection (110) was the most easily measurable of those reflections which necessitated the parameter refinements.) This equation indicated the values of $x_{\rm Fe}$ and y_0 which would together satisfy the value for F_{110} observed. A similar treatment with the (310) reflection did not serve to limit this set, but a consideration of (510), for which an upper bound could be established, showed that the major change must come in y_0 . This was also expected from the agreement of structure factors for the high-order reflections.

The last reflection used to fix these two parameters was (600). Here the observed intensity was definitely greater than that calculated, and it was therefore held reasonable to maximize the calculated structure factor on the set of values determined by (110). This process led to the numerical assignment $x_{\rm Fe} = 0.49$ and $y_0 = 0.02$. A more accurate assignment could have been made on the basis of the entire set of reflections, of course, but, it was felt even this procedure would have yielded values within 0.005 of the above.

By assuming the P–O distance of 1.58 A. and a regular tetrahedron of oxygen atoms, the z_0 parameter was found to be 0.07. This figure was independently arrived at by plotting the (00*l*) reflections as functions of z_0 . The proper interrelationship was found to hold best at this value. Some support for the oxygen x parameter is obtained from the oxygen diameter of 2.8 A. (Pauling, 1940), which also leads to $x_0 = 0.24$.

The structure factors (Table 1) were calculated on the basis of this set of parameters, which are, in summary:

- 4 P atoms in 4(a);
- 4 OH groups in 4(b);

7 Fe distributed randomly in 8(f) with $x_{\text{Fe}} = 0.49$; and 16 O in 16(g) with $x_0 = 0.24$, $y_0 = 0.02$, and $z_0 = 0.07$.

Discussion of co-ordination

The co-ordination of atoms in the lower half of the unit cell of iron lazulite is indicated in Fig. 1. Double lines indicate the P–O bonding in the phosphate tetrahedra.



The distorted, face-sharing, oxygen octahedra about the iron atoms can be picked out by following the solid Fe–O lines emanating from iron atoms in the forward

half of the unit cell and the broken Fe–O lines from iron atoms in the rear half of the cell.

For the purpose of checking the electrostatic valency rule, let us for the moment ignore the defect structure and assume that there is a doubly positive iron atom at each of the eightfold positions. A phosphate oxygen may then be thought of as co-ordinated to one phosphorus atom and two iron atoms. The phosphorus atom with its 4 co-ordination contributes $\frac{5}{4}$ of an electrostatic bond to a phosphate oxygen, and the two irons contribute $\frac{1}{3}$ each for a total of $\frac{23}{12}$. The oxygen atoms in the fourfold positions have four iron atoms as their nearest cationic neighbors, which contribute a total of $\frac{4}{3}$ of an electrostatic bond. It is thus necessary to postulate O-H groups at the fourfold positions, yielding a total of $\frac{7}{3}$. Two considerations indicate that the totals for both the sixteenfold oxygens and the fourfold oxygens are more nearly 2. First, the Fe-O distance for the sixteenfold oxygens is about 0·1 A. shorter than the Fe-O distance for the fourfold oxygens (1·96 v. 2·09 A.). Secondly, we have made the calculation assuming that all the hydrogen charge contributed to the total for the oxygens of the O-H groups alone, whereas some effect on the phosphate oxygens would also be expected.

 Table 1. Structure factors

Symbols: NOF=not on film, i.e. either blocked by beam stopper or outside oscillation range. B=background too heavy to permit estimation of spot.

hkl	$ F_o $	$ F_c $	α (°)	hkl	$ F_o $	$ F_c $	α (°)	hkl	$ F_o $	$ F_c $	α (°)
004	74	85	180	015	50	37	315	1,2,11	< 32	19	144
008	92	101	180	017	73	64	225	1.2.13	35	42	213
0.0.12	31	29	0	019	51	37	135	220	NOF	NOF	NOF
0.0.16	91	118	0	0.1.11	50	46	45	222	<17	1	180
101	49	37	225	0.1.13	59	68	315	224	106	166	0
103	89	127	315	110	26	23	180	226	< 21	1	Ō
105	48	37	45	112	68	75	0	228	< 24	$1\bar{4}$	180
107	77	65	135	114	<14	10	0	2.2.10	< 27	0.4	0
109	55	37	225	116	57	49	0	2.2.12	81	93	0
1.0.11	55	46	315	118	$<\!20$	0.2	180	321	59	51	51
1.0.13	74	68	45	1,1,10	48	39	0	323	61	55	123
200	75	63	0	1.1.12	$<\!25$	3	0	325	< 23	13	225
202	71	63	90	1,1,14	34	33	0	327	76	75	312
204	57	56	0	211	61	65	320	329	62	56	49
206	120	137	270	213	79	82	214	420	NOF	NOF	NOF
208	60	43	0	215	21	11	132	422	61	60	270
2.0.10	108	127	90	217	78	81	37	424	45	37	4
2.0.12	45	34	0	219	63	58	321	426	86	98	90
2.0.14	55	70	270	2,1,11	25	19	244	428	32	33	359
301	54	38	225	2,1,13	33	42	147	4.2.10	76	98	270
303	88	94	315	310	< 18	14	180	521	NOF	NOF	NOF
303	51 54	39	40	312	54	46	326	523	NOF	NOF	NOF
307	04 90	44	130	314	< 20	10	316	525	< 32	12	218
2011	29	20	220	310	52	48	22	527	45	52	324
2/0/11	39	40	315	318	< 23	1	139	529	44	40	37
3,0,13	49	100	40	3,1,10	39	40	333	110	24	20	100
409	- 97	103	270	3,1,12	< 49	4	49	110	24	23	180
404	57	58	190	3,1,14	< 29	28	10	130	20	14	180
406	~ 29	50	100	411	20	21	110	150	В	B	В
408	80	87	50	415	40	19	00 919	170	B	B	В
4.0.10	< 34	3	270	415	40	09 45	010 946	200	109	100	100
4.0.12	< 37	27	180	410	30	40 90	240	220	120	128	180
4.0.14	< 39	0.9	90	4.1.11	35	40	51	240	410 59	44	100
501	< 31	17	225	4.1.13	43	58	300	200	- 35	20	100
503	65	62	315	510	25	28	180	310	NOF	NOF	NOF
505	43	38	45	512	41	39	354	330	B	B	B
507	< 39	37	135	514	< 26	18	14	350	B	Ř	B
509	<35	28	225	516	30	31	$\hat{25}$	370	Ř	Ř	Ř
5.0.11	37	39	315	518	< 28	4	199	400	150	183	0
5.0.13	44	51	45	5,1,10	30	31	332	440	113	136	Õ
600	48	27	0					460	41	24	ŏ
602	46	54	90	020	NOF	NOF	NOF	4.80	63	85	ŏ
604	35	39	0	022	NOF	NOF	NOF	550	В	B	B
606	68	80	270	024	61	56	0	600	48	27	0
608	41	51	0	026	99	138	90	800	69	96	0
6.0.10	53	82	90	028	55	43	0				
701	< 39	23	225	0.2.10	106	126	90	011	46	37	135
703	59	50	315	0.2.12	37	34	0	031	43	38	135
705	43	29	45	0.2.14	47	70	90	051	25	17	135
707	< 41	15	135	121	52	65	42	121	60	65	42
800	84	97	0	123	66	81	146	141	24	27	244
011	NOR	NOT	Non	125	21	11	229	161	36	46	33
011	NOF	NOF	NOF	127	73	81	323	231	51	51	312
013	> 88	127	44.9	129	64	58	39	251	49	51	318

The packing of oxygens has each O-H group centering a tetrahedron of the four nearest oxygens at about 2.70 A., and a rectangular antiprism of the eight next nearest at about 3.06 A.

We wish to thank Mr Richard Curtis and Mr John Lancaster for their assistance in the final stages of this investigation and in the preparation of the manuscript.

Acta Cryst. (1951). 4, 348

The Structure of Dimethylberyllium*

Wiley.

BY A. I. SNOW[†] AND R. E. RUNDLE

Institute for Atomic Research and Department of Chemistry, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.

(Received 23 October 1950)

Dimethylberyllium is body-centered orthorhombic, with $a_0 = 6.13$, $b_0 = 11.53$, $c_0 = 4.18$ A., and a structure similar to SiS₂. In the



chains, the C-Be-C angle within the four-membered ring is somewhat greater than tetrahedral, and the Be-C-Be angle is only 66°. The electron-deficient Be-C bonds are 1.93 A. in length.

This structure is in agreement with the rule that electron-deficient bonding results from the tendency of metals, with fewer valence electrons than low-energy orbitals, to use all their low-energy orbitals in forming bonds, even though combined with elements or groups containing no unshared pairs.

Introduction

Compounds in which the number of bonds exceeds the number of electron pairs, historically limited to the boron hydrides and other third-group hydrides and alkyl compounds, have presented a difficult problem in chemical valence. The discovery of similar electrondeficient bonding in tetramethylplatinum (Rundle & Sturdivant, 1947) made it clear that this valence anomaly was not limited to compounds involving thirdgroup elements. It has been suggested, and given some theoretical justification (Rundle, 1947, 1949), that metals tend to use all their low-energy orbitals in bond formation, even if this requires delocalization of bonding, so that one electron pair serves for more than one interatomic link. This rule not only accounts for the known 'electron-deficient' compounds, but predicts that metals with more low-energy orbitals than valence electrons should form 'electron-deficient' compounds when combined with elements or groups containing no unshared pairs.

Beryllium has four low-energy orbitals, one 2s and three 2p orbitals, and only two valence electrons. It might be expected to form four tetrahedral interatomic links, even in dimethylberyllium. As a test of the above rule we have determined the structure of dimethylberyllium by X-ray diffraction.

References

BRAGG, W. L. (1937). The Atomic Structure of Minerals.

DANA, J. D. (1892). A System of Mineralogy. New York:

PAULING, L. (1940). The Nature of the Chemical Bond.

BERRY, L. G. (1948). Amer. Min. 33, 750.

Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Structure determination

Preparation of the compound

Dimethylberyllium was prepared by the method of Gilman & Schulze (1927), reacting methyl Grignard and anhydrous beryllium chloride in ether solution. The compound, obtained as the ether complex, was freed of ether by subliming repeatedly in a vacuum system.

Dimethylberyllium reacts violently with moisture and air, so that crystals have to be kept in sealed containers. Crystals for single-crystal examination were prepared by subliming excess dimethylberyllium into a thin-walled capillary attached to a vacuum system, sealing off the capillary, and then growing a number of single crystals within the capillary by sublimation under a temperature gradient. In favorable cases this method yielded isolated single crystals suitable for diffraction purposes.

Physical and X-ray data

Dimethylberyllium is a white solid subliming at 200°C. As mentioned above, it forms a crystalline complex with ether. These properties are in agreement with those reported by Gilman & Schulze.

^{*} Contribution no. 119 from the Institute for Atomic Research and the Department of Chemistry, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa. This work was performed in the Ames Laboratory of the Atomic Energy Commission.

[†] Present address: Institute for the Study of Metals, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.